TOWN OF LINCOLNVILLE MUNICIPAL COURT LINCOLNVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA

STATE AUDITOR'S REPORT

JUNE 30, 2011

CONTENTS

<u>PAGE</u>

| Ι. | INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES | 1 |
|-----|--|------------------|
| II. | ACCOUNTANT'S COMMENTS | |
| | SECTION A - VIOLATIONS OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS | 4 |
| | ASSESSMENT AND COLLECTION OF FEES AND SURCHARGES 107.5% Assessment Conviction Surcharge Law Enforcement Funding Surcharge Criminal Justice Academy Surcharge Recommendation | 5 5 6 7 |
| | INSTALLMENT FEE | 7 |
| | SUBMISSION OF STATE TREASURER'S REVENUE REMITTANCE FORM | 8 |
| | ACCOUNTING FOR VICTIM ASSISTANCE FUNDS | 9 |
| | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION | 10 |
| | SECTION B – OTHER WEAKNESS | 11 |
| | COURT DOCKET AVAILABILITY | 12 |
| | TOWN'S RESPONSE | 13 |

The Honorable Nikki R. Haley, Governor and The Honorable Vergil A. Deas, Municipal Judge Ms. Sophia James, Town Treasurer/Clerk of Court Town of Lincolnville September 28, 2012

Our findings as a result of these procedures are presented in Assessment and Collection of Fees and Surcharges, Installment Fee, Accounting for Victim Assistance Funds, Supporting Documentation, and Court Docket Availability in the Accountant's Comments section of this report.

2. Town Treasurer

We gained an understanding of the policies and procedures established by the Town to ensure proper accounting for court fines, fees, assessments, surcharges, forfeitures, escheatments, or other monetary penalties.

We obtained copies of all State Treasurer's Revenue Remittance Forms submitted by the Town for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. We agreed the amounts reported on the State Treasurer's Revenue Remittance Forms to the Court's cash receipt records and to the State Treasurer's receipts. We also agreed the total revenue due to the State Treasurer to the general ledger.

We determined if the State Treasurer's Revenue Remittance Forms were submitted in a timely manner to the State Treasurer in accordance with State law.

We verified that the amounts reported by the Town on its supplemental schedule of fines and assessments for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 agreed to the State Treasurer's Revenue Remittance Forms and to the Town's general ledger. We also determined if the supplemental schedule of fines and assessments contained all required elements in accordance with State law.

Our findings as a result of these procedures are presented in Submission of State Treasurer's Revenue Remittance Form and Supporting Documentation.

3. Victim Assistance

We gained an understanding of the policies and procedures established by the Town to ensure proper accounting for victim assistance funds.

We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if any funds retained by the Town for victim assistance were accounted for in a separate account.

We determined if the Town reported victim assistance financial activity on the supplemental schedule of fines and assessments in accordance with State law.

We inspected the Town's general ledger to determine if the Victim Assistance Fund balance was retained as of July 1 from the previous fiscal year in accordance with State law.

Our findings as a result of these procedures are presented in Accounting for Victim Assistance Funds and Supporting Documentation in the Accountant's Comments section of this report.

The Honorable Nikki R. Haley, Governor and The Honorable Vergil A. Deas, Municipal Judge Ms. Sophia James, Town Treasurer/Clerk of Court Town of Lincolnville September 28, 2012

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance with the collection and distribution of court generated revenue at any level of court for the twelve months ended June 30, 2011, and, furthermore, we were not engaged to express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal controls over compliance with the laws, rules and regulations described in paragraph one and the procedures of this report. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor, Chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee, Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee, Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, members of the Town of Lincolnville Town Council, Town of Lincolnville Municipal Judge, Town of Lincolnville Clerk of Court, Town of Lincolnville Treasurer, State Treasurer, State Office of Victim Assistance, and the Chief Justice and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA Deputy State Auditor

ACCOUNTANT'S COMMENTS

SECTION A - VIOLATIONS OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS

Management of the entity is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls to ensure compliance with State Laws, Rules or Regulations governing court collections and remittances. The procedures agreed to by the entity require that we plan and perform the engagement to determine whether any violations of State Laws, Rules or Regulations occurred.

The conditions described in this section have been identified as violations of State

ASSESSMENT AND COLLECTION OF FEES AND SURCHARGES

107.5% Assessment

During our test of Municipal Court collections and remittances, we noted two instances where the Court did not assess and collect the 107.5% assessment on fines as required by State law.

The Town Treasurer/Clerk of Court stated she was unaware this assessment should be levied on violations not written on a Uniform Traffic Ticket.

Section 14-1-208(A) of the 1976 South Carolina Code of Laws, as amended, states, "A person who is convicted of, or pleads guilty or nolo contendere to, or forfeits bond for an offense occurring after June 30, 2008, tried in municipal court must pay an amount equal to 107.5 percent of the fine imposed as an assessment. The assessment is based upon that portion of the fine that is not suspended, and assessments must not be waived, reduced, or suspended."

Conviction Surcharge

During our test of Municipal Court collections and remittances, we noted two instances where the Court did not assess and collect the required \$25 conviction surcharge.

The Town Treasurer/Clerk of Court stated she was unaware this surcharge should be levied on violations not written on a Uniform Traffic Ticket.

Section 14-1-211 (A)(1) of the 1976 South Carolina Code of Laws, as amended, states, "In addition to all other assessments and surcharges...a twenty-five dollar surcharge is imposed on all convictions obtained in magistrates and municipal courts in this State. No portion of the surcharge may be waived, reduced, or suspended."

Recommendation

We recommend the Court implement procedures to ensure assessments and surcharges are properly assessed and collected in accordance with State law.

INSTALLMENT FEE

During our test of Municipal Court collections and remittances, we noted two out of twenty-five instances where the Court assessed and collected the three percent installment fee from individuals who paid the total amount due in one payment after the plea date. In addition, we noted two out of twenty-five instances where the Court did not assess and collect the three percent installment fee from individuals who paid in installments.

The Town Treasurer/Clerk of Court stated the Court's policy is to charge the installment fee to all individuals who agree to a scheduled time payment, whether or not that individual pays in installments. For the two cases where the installment fee was not charged, the Town Treasurer/Clerk of Court stated the former Clerk of Court would have been responsible for assessing the charge.

Section 14-17-725 of the 1976 South Carolina Code of Laws, as amended, states, "Where criminal fines, assessments, or restitution payments are paid through installments, a collection cost charge of three percent of the payment also must be collected by the clerk of court, magistrate, or municipal court from the defendant...".

We recommend the Court implement procedures to ensure the installment fee is assessed and collected in accordance with State law.

-7-

SUBMISSION OF STATE TREASURER'S REVENUE REMITTANCE FORM

During our testing of the Town's State Treasurer Revenue Remittance Forms (STRRF), we noted four out of twelve STRRF were not submitted to the State Treasurer by the fifteenth day of the month as required by State law. The forms were submitted one to thirty-five days late. We also noted three STRRF were not submitted. Out of the three STRRF, one had been prepared by the Town; however, neither the Town nor the State Treasurer could provide us with the State Treasurer's Receipt to document the STRRF had been submitted or received. The Town could not provide us with the other two STRRF. Based on our review of the Town's general ledger, we determined that the Town recorded court fees for one of the months and did not record court revenue during the other month.

The former Clerk of Court terminated employment with the Town in January 2011, which was prior to the start of our engagement and no other Town employee was able to explain why the STRRF were either not submitted or submitted late. Other late submissions occurred because of a misunderstanding by the current Town Treasurer/Clerk of Court. When S2 Tw -7.Tj0.000. Tcs1i fS896Td(s TwTjfaj0.00 Tc 0.0Td2Tj-0.039) JJ0.032dher not sub.3 Td[explar.

ACCOUNTING FOR VICTIM ASSISTANCE FUNDS

During our test of Municipal Court collections and remittances we noted the Town did not properly retain victim services revenue. The funds collected for victim assistance were not deposited into a separate account as required by State law but were pooled with the Town's traffic court account.

Because the Town did not separately report victim assistance revenue on its general ledger, victim assistance beginning and ending balances per the general ledger did not agree to amounts reported on the required schedule of fines, assessments and surcharges included

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

During our testing of the Town's State Treasurer Revenue Remittance Forms (STRRF), we noted amounts reported on the July 2010, August 2010 and October 2010 forms did not agree to the Town's general ledger. Town personnel could not explain the differences nor could they provide us with any additional documentation to support the amounts reported on the STRRF.

Also, during our testing of Municipal Court collections and remittances we were unable to agree seven out of twenty-five cash receipts to the Town's court accounting records nor were we able to determine if the receipts were reported on the applicable State Treasurer's Revenue Remittance Form (STRRF). In addition, the Town could not provide us with any support to document if the amounts collected for these seven receipts were properly assessed and allocated in accordance with State law.

The former Clerk of Court terminated employment with the Town prior to the start of our engagement and current town personnel were unable to find the documentation we requested. During our testing we did note that the current Town Treasurer/Clerk of Court prepares and maintains an allocation worksheet to support amounts recorded on the STRRF.

Section 14-1-208 (E)(4) of the 1976 South Carolina Code of Laws, as amended, states, "The clerk of court and municipal treasurer shall keep records of fines and assessments required to be reviewed pursuant to this subsection in the format determined by the municipal governing body and make those records available for review."

We recommend the Town implement procedures to ensure court accounting records are maintained and readily available for review. We also recommend that all court collections reported and remitted to the State Treasurer be reconciled to accounting records and reviewed for accuracy.

-10-

SECTION B – OTHER WEAKNESS

The condition described in this section has been identified while performing the agreedupon procedures but is not considered a violation of State Laws, Rules or Regulations.

P.O. Box 536 Summerville, South Carolina 29484-0536 December 14, 2012

| | Mr. Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| <u> </u> | 1401 Main Street, Suite 1200 Columbia, SC 29201 | | |
| | RE: Town of Lincolnville Audit Report | | |
| | Dear Mr. Gilbert: | | |
| <u>.</u> | Thank you for providing our preliminary draft copy of the audit report for the Town of Lincolnville Municipal Court for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. My review has been completed and I am responding based solely on my understanding of | | |
| [M] | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| · | • | | |
| <u> </u> | | | |
| 1 1 1 | | | |
| | | | |
| د ۲ | | | |
| × | | | |
| h | | | |
| | | | |
| - - | | | |
| • | | | |
| ۲ <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u> | procedures to handle all situations and problems listed herein to include submission of revenue remittance forms, collection of fees and surcharges, accounting for victim | | |
| · · | N _{SA} | | |

| | Reply to Accountant Findings | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----|---|---|
| | g | | | |
| | | | | |
| Y | | | | |
| | | | | |
| • | | { | | |
| | | • › | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | - | _ |

the Town Of Lincolnville for such violations. Town Code Violations were not put on Uniform Traffic Tickets. It appears that the assessments and surcharges were not assessed to the State due to these matters being town code violations that were non traffic and not on a uniformed traffic ticket. The Clerk thus did not include these reports with uniform traffic ticket report and fees. These cases came about from the Codes Enforcement Officer levying a fine for failure to get permits to clear property or burning leaves without permission or for failure to clean up debris and over growth. In an effort to correct, all fines will be assessed and distributed accordingly regardless of the designation or classification of the fine.

2. The court as a general rule always tells people seeking time payments that there is a three

5 copies of this document were published at an estimated printing cost of \$1.60 each, and a total printing cost of \$8.00. Section 1-11-125 of the South Carolina Code of Laws, as amended requires this information on printing costs be added to the document.